



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,501	02/27/2007	Siegfried Kogelbauer	FRZ-112US	7010
23122	7590	09/03/2009		
RATNERPRESTIA P.O. BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482			EXAMINER JONAITIS, JUSTIN M	
			ART UNIT 3752	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 09/03/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/581,501	Applicant(s) KOGELBAUER, SIEGFRIED	
	Examiner JUSTIN JONAITIS	Art Unit 3752	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JUSTIN JONAITIS. (3) JOSHUA COHEN.

(2) DINH NGUYEN. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 31 August 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 5.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent #6,283,329 to Bezaire et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed claim 5 discussing why the fluid disclosed by Bezaire et al. hwo the ball check assembly (68) is not equivalen to the ball valve disclosed in Claim 5. No agreement was reached that the fluid disclosed by Bezaire teaches away from the applicant's invention. Further discussed the specific wording on page 7 paragraph 10 of the office action regarding claim 1. Discussed the necessity of further defining the plane in which the outlets open into.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Dinh Q Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3752	/JUSTIN JONAITIS/ Examiner, Art Unit 3752
--	--